

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box (430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.opto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/500,149	04/28/2005	Michael Herzog	HERZ3001/FJD	5257	
23364 BACON & TH	7590 02/08/2011 HOMAS, PLLC	EXAMINER			
625 SLATERS	SLANE	KOO, GARY J			
FOURTH FLO	OOR A, VA 22314-1176		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2168		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/08/2011	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

1	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/500,149	HERZOG, MICHAEL		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	GARY KOO	2168		

	GARY KOO	2168				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 20 January 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.				
 X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 of periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavil eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. If no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TW						
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.138(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
	11	m - a				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>						
 3. \[\begin{align*} 1 he proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bel appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1			DTOL 204)			
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		npliant Amendment (FTOL-324).			
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the			
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro- 		be entered and an e	xplanation of			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 19-28 and 30-36. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	vercome all rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail	s to provide a			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:			
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
/Tim T. Vo/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2168	/Gary Koo/ Examiner, Art Unit 2168					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The independent claim 19 includes the new limitation "whereby each field device determines or controls a process variable whereby said field devices" which requires further search and consideration.